USTC-ICTS-14-14
August 2014

Analysis of $J/\psi(\eta_c) \to \gamma$ + invisible decays in the standard model

Dao-Neng Gao†

Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026 China

arXiv:1408.4552v2 [hep-ph] 7 Oct 2014

Abstract

Motivated by the experimental study of $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible decay by the CLEO Collaboration, we analyze the process $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, as the standard model background for this invisible decay, at the lowest order. Our investigation shows that $\mathrm{Br}(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu})$ is far below the present experimental upper limit on the branching ratio of $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible, which indicates that some interesting room for new physics in this channel might be expected. We also present a similar analysis of the $\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ decay.

† E-mail: gaodn@ustc.edu.cn

Charmonium radiative decay has long been an interesting topic for theoretical and experimental investigations. The decay mode $J/\psi \to \gamma + X$, where X is a narrow state that is invisible to the detector, has been analyzed by the CLEO Collaboration [1], and the upper limit on the branching ratio has been reported, which could reach 6.3×10^{-6} at the 90% confidence level. It is generally thought that the invisible particle X could be the neutrino in the standard model, and also the new one outside the standard model, which may be the light candidate of dark matter or other exotic particles from some new physics scenarios. Theoretically, in Ref. [2], J/ψ decays into a photon and Kaluza-Klein excitations of the graviton, induced by large extra dimensions formalism, have been discussed and a branching ratio around 10^{-5} may be expected. Thus, studies of quarkonium radiative decay to invisible final states may help us to explore the novel dynamics or provide some useful constraints on some models beyond the standard model.

Motivated by the experimental and theoretical efforts mentioned above, in this paper we will compute the standard model contribution to $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible; namely, we shall focus on the analysis of the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ since only neutrinos are invisible particles in the standard model. Only after we fully understand its standard model background can the future precise experimental investigations of the $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible decay possibly provide us with some interesting information on new physics. To our knowledge, this analysis has not been done yet. We will also present a similar analysis of $\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ decay.

We consider the decay in the rest frame of J/ψ,

$$J/\psi(P) \to \gamma(q) + \nu(p_1) + \bar{\nu}(p_2), \tag{1}$$

where momenta are given in brackets. In the standard model, the lowest-order contribution to the process is from the transition $J/\psi \to \gamma Z^*$ with Z^* converted into the neutrino pair, which has been shown in Fig. 1. Vertices in these diagrams are given by the neutral current interactions guiding dynamics of the photon and Z boson couplings to fermions, which can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NC} = eJ^{\gamma}_{\mu}A^{\mu} + \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W}J^{Z}_{\mu}Z^{\mu} \tag{2}$$

with

$$J^{\gamma}_{\mu} = \sum_f Q_f \bar{f}\gamma_\mu f, \tag{3}$$

and

$$J^{Z}_{\mu} = \sum_f \bar{f}\gamma_\mu(g^f_V - g^f_A\gamma_5)f, \tag{4}$$

where e is the coupling constant of electromagnetic interaction, g is the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ coupling constant, θ_W is the Weinberg angle, and f denotes fermions including leptons and quarks. Also $g^f_V = T^f_3 - 2Q_f \sin^2\theta_W$ and $g^f_A = T^f_3$, where Q_f is the charge, and T^f_3 is the third component of the weak isospin of the fermion. For the charm quark and the neutrino, we know $g^c_A = g^\nu_A = g^\nu_V = 1/2$, $g^c_V = 1/2 - 4/3\sin^2\theta_W$, and $Q_c = 2/3$.

On the other hand, one can easily find that the diagrams in Fig. 1 actually depict the partonic level transition $c(p_c)\bar{c}(p_{\bar{c}}) \to \gamma(q) + Z^*(k)$ with $k = p_1 + p_2$. In order to obtain their contributions to the hadronic decay amplitude, one has to project the quark pair



Figure 1: Lowest-order diagrams for the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$.

into the corresponding hadron state. For J/ψ, the only one hadron in the process, a good approximation is to use the nonrelativistic color-singlet model [3]. In this model the quark momentum and mass are taken to be one half of the corresponding quarkonium momentum and mass, which means $p_c = p_{\bar{c}} = P/2$ and $m_{J/\psi} = 2m_c$. Thus, for the $c\bar{c}$ pair to form J/ψ, it should be projected into a vector color-singlet state, and one can replace the combination of the Dirac and color spinors for c and $\bar{c}$ by the following projection operator [4, 5]:

$$u(p_c)_i \bar{v}(p_{\bar{c}})_j \longrightarrow \frac{\psi_{J/\psi}(0) I_c}{\sqrt{12 m_{J/\psi}}} \left((\not{P} + m_{J/\psi}) \not{\epsilon}_{J/\psi} \right)_{ij} \ , \tag{5}$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu}_{J/\psi}$ is the polarization vector of J/ψ, and I_c is the 3×3 unit matrix in color space. $\psi_{J/\psi}(0)$ is the wave function at the origin for the J/ψ state, which is a nonperturbative parameter. Likewise, for the η_c state, one can adopt the projector as follows:

$$u(p_c)_i \bar{v}(p_{\bar{c}})_j \longrightarrow \frac{\psi_{\eta_c}(0) I_c}{\sqrt{12 m_{\eta_c}}} \left((\not{P} + m_{\eta_c}) i\gamma_5 \right)_{ij} \ . \tag{6}$$

Now let us commence with the decay amplitude of $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$. Direct calculation, by employing eqs. (5), (2), (3) and (4), will lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) &= -\frac{4\sqrt{3} e Q_c g_A^c g_A^{\nu} G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{m_{J/\psi}} \psi_{J/\psi}(0)}{m_{J/\psi}^2 - k^2} \\ &\quad \times \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\alpha}_{J/\psi}(P) \epsilon^{*\nu}(q) q^{\beta} \bar{u}(p_1) \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) v(p_2), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\epsilon^{*\nu}(q)$ is the polarization vector of the photon. Note that the momentum square of the virtual Z boson $k^2 \ll m_Z^2$, we have made an approximation by the replacement

$$\frac{1}{k^2 - m_Z^2} \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{m_Z^2} \tag{8}$$

for the Z propagator, and

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8 m_W^2} = \frac{g^2}{8 m_Z^2 \cos^2\theta_W} \tag{9}$$

has been used in the derivation. Since charge conjugate invariance requires the axial coupling between the Z boson and charm quark in Fig. 1, only g_A^c appears in the amplitude (7). One



Figure 2: The normalized decay spectrum for $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$.

can check the Appendix for some details in deriving of the amplitude. Thus the differential decay rate of $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, in terms of E_γ, the photon energy in the rest frame of J/ψ, can be written as

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{4Q_c^2\alpha_{\rm em}G_F^2}{3\pi^2}\frac{|\psi_{J/\psi}(0)|^2}{m_{J/\psi}}E_\gamma(m_{J/\psi} - E_\gamma), \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha_{\rm em} = e^2/4\pi$, and the range of the variable is given by

$$0 \leq E_\gamma \leq m_{J/\psi}/2. \tag{11}$$

In deriving eq. (10), we have taken the relation $k^2 = m_{J/\psi}^2 - 2m_{J/\psi}E_\gamma$, $g_A^c = g_A^\nu = 1/2$, and the tiny neutrino mass has been set to zero. The decay spectrum given by eq. (10) has been plotted, as the function of the photon energy E_γ, in Fig. 2. The advantage of plotting the distribution is that the nonperturbative parameter $\psi_{J/\psi}(0)$ naturally cancels out in the normalized decay spectrum. One can find that it will get the maximum in the spectrum when E_γ is taken to be half of the J/ψ mass.

After integrating over E_γ in the differential rate of (10), one can get the desired partial width as

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{Q_c^2\alpha_{\rm em}G_F^2m_{J/\psi}^2}{3\pi^2}|\psi_{J/\psi}(0)|^2, \tag{12}$$

in which three flavors of neutrinos have been summed. As the lowest-order evaluation, it is conventional to introduce the normalized decay rate of $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, defined as

$$R(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(J/\psi \to \ell^+\ell^-)}, \tag{13}$$



Figure 3: Lowest-order diagram for the decay $J/\psi \to \ell^+\ell^-$.

normalized to the partial width of J/ψ decaying into a charged lepton pair (e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$). The lowest-order contribution to this decay rate, as depicted in Fig. 3, can be similarly computed, which is given by

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to \ell^+\ell^-) = \frac{16\pi Q_c^2 \alpha_{\rm em}^2}{m_{J/\psi}^2} |\psi_{J/\psi(0)}|^2. \tag{14}$$

This leads to

$$R(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{G_F^2 m_{J/\psi}^4}{48\pi^3 \alpha_{\rm em}} = 1.2 \times 10^{-9}. \tag{15}$$

Using the experimental data of $\mathrm{Br}(J/\psi \to \ell^+\ell^-) = (5.94 \pm 0.06)\%$ for $\ell = e$ or μ in Ref. [6], we have

$$\mathrm{Br}(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = 0.7 \times 10^{-10}, \tag{16}$$

which is quite small, comparing with present upper limit on the branching ratio of 6.3×10^{-6}, reported by the CLEO Collaboration [1, 6]. This indicates that some interesting room for new physics in the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma +$ invisible might be expected. Although eq. (15)is from the lowest-order calculation, it is reasonable to assume that high-order corrections would not change it very greatly, at least for its order of magnitude.

Next let us deal with pseudoscalar charmonium decay $\eta_c(P) \to \gamma(q)\nu(p_1)\bar{\nu}(p_2)$. In the standard model, the lowest-order contribution to the decay is also from the transition $\eta_c \to \gamma Z^* \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, and the corresponding diagrams are the same as Fig. 1. Analogously to the J/ψ case and employing the projector (6) for η_c, one can obtain the decay amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}(\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{-4\sqrt{3} e Q_c g_V^c g_V^\nu G_F}{\sqrt{2 m_{\eta_c}}} \frac{\psi_{\eta_c}(0)}{m_{\eta_c}^2 - k^2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} P^\alpha \epsilon^{*\nu}(q) q^\beta \bar{u}(p_1)\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)v(p_2), \tag{17}$$

where $k = p_1 + p_2$ denotes the momentum of the virtual Z boson. Straightforwardly, we get the partial width of the process

$$\Gamma(\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{Q_c^2 \alpha_{\rm em} G_F^2 m_{\eta_c}^2}{4\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\sin^2\theta_W\right)^2 |\psi_{\eta_c}(0)|^2, \tag{18}$$

where $g_V^\nu = 1/2$ and $g_V^c = 1/2 - 4/3 \sin^2\theta_W$ have been taken. Similarly, in order to get rid of the nonperturbative factor $\psi_{\eta_c}(0)$, we define the normalized decay rate as

$$R(\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{\Gamma(\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(\eta_c \to \gamma\gamma)}. \tag{19}$$



Figure 4: Lowest-order diagram for the decay $\eta_c \to \gamma\gamma$.

It is easy to evaluate the lowest-order contribution to the decay rate of $\eta_c \to \gamma\gamma$, as depicted in Fig. 4, which reads

$$\Gamma(\eta_c \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{48\pi Q_c^4 \alpha_{\rm em}^2}{m_{\eta_c}^2} |\psi_{\eta_c}(0)|^2. \tag{20}$$

Consequently,

$$R(\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{G_F^2 m_{\eta_c}^4}{192\pi^3 \alpha_{\rm em} Q_c^2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\sin^2\theta_W\right)^2 = 8.1 \times 10^{-11}. \tag{21}$$

Taking the data $\mathrm{Br}(\eta_c \to \gamma\gamma) = (1.57 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$ [6], we obtain

$$\mathrm{Br}(\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}) = 1.3 \times 10^{-14}. \tag{22}$$

This is really a very small branching ratio.

In conclusion, charmonium radiative decay $J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, which is the standard model contribution to the $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible decay, has been computed at the lowest order. The nonrelativistic color-singlet model has been employed for the charmonium hadron state. Our study shows that $\mathrm{Br}(J/\psi \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu})$ is 0.7×10^{-10}, which is far below the present upper limit on the branching ratio of $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible, reported by the CLEO Collaboration. This means that substantial room for new physics may exist in this process. Therefore, in future precise experiments, such as the super tau-charm factory, the $J/\psi \to \gamma$ + invisible decay could be an interesting channel in searching for new physics scenarios beyond the standard model. We also carry out a similar analysis of the mode $\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, as the standard model background for the $\eta_c \to \gamma$ + invisible decay. It is found that its branching ratio is strongly suppressed.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the NSF of China under Grants No. 11075149 and 11235010.

Appendix: Derivation of the decay amplitudes

First the contribution to the partonic level transition $c(P/2)\bar{c}(P/2) \to \gamma(q) + Z^*(k)$ from Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) can be explicitly written as

$$\bar{v}(P/2)\left[\gamma^\mu(g_V^c - g_A^c\gamma_5)\frac{\not{P}/2 - \not{q} + m_c}{(P/2-q)^2 - m_c^2}\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\frac{\not{q} - \not{P}/2 + m_c}{(q-P/2)^2 - m_c^2}\gamma^\mu(g_V^c - g_A^c\gamma_5)\right]u(P/2)$$
$$\times \epsilon_\nu^*(q)\epsilon_\mu^{Z^*}\frac{-iegQ_c}{2\cos\theta_W}, \tag{A1}$$

where $\epsilon_\mu^{Z^*}$ denotes the virtual Z boson, and $p_c = p_{\bar{c}} = P/2$ has been set. Thus, employing Eq. (5), one will further get

$$\frac{-iegQ_c}{2\cos\theta_W}\frac{-2}{m_{J/\psi}^2 - k^2}\frac{\sqrt{3}\psi_{J/\psi}(0)}{2\sqrt{m_{J/\psi}}}\cdot \mathrm{tr}(\not{P} + m_{J/\psi})\not{\epsilon}_{J/\psi}[\gamma^\mu(g_V^c - g_A^c\gamma_5)(\not{P}/2 - \not{q} + m_c)\gamma^\nu$$
$$+\gamma^\nu(\not{q} - \not{P}/2 + m_c)\gamma^\mu(g_V^c - g_A^c\gamma_5)]\,\epsilon_\nu^*(q)\epsilon_\mu^{Z^*} \tag{A2}$$

for the $J/\psi \to \gamma Z^*$ transition. Here we have taken $m_c = m_{J/\psi}/2$ and $(P/2-q)^2 - m_c^2 = -(m_{J/\psi}^2 - k^2)/2$, and tr denotes the trace over the Dirac matrices only. Let us pick up terms proportional to g_V^c in the above trace, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{tr}(\not{P} + m_{J/\psi})\not{\epsilon}_{J/\psi}[\gamma^\mu(\not{P}/2 - \not{q} + m_c)\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu(\not{q} - \not{P}/2 + m_c)\gamma^\mu]g_V^c \\ = \;& \mathrm{tr}(\not{P} + m_{J/\psi})\not{\epsilon}_{J/\psi}[2m_c g^{\mu\nu} + \gamma^\mu(\not{P}/2 - \not{q})\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu(\not{P}/2 - \not{q})\gamma^\mu]g_V^c. \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

It is seen that, after performing the trace, the second and third terms inside the above bracket will cancel each other, and the first term will give the contribution proportional to $\epsilon_{J/\psi}\cdot P$, which is equal to zero for the on-shell J/ψ particle. Therefore g_V^c will disappear in the amplitude of $J/\psi \to \gamma Z^* \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, and one can reach eq. (7) by completing the trace in eq. (A2) and converting Z^* into the neutrino pair via eq. (4). Also eqs. (8) and (9) should be used in the derivation. The decay amplitude of Eq. (17) for $\eta_c \to \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ can be derived in the similar way. Due to the γ_5 appearing in eq. (6), it is easily understood that g_V^c other than g_A^c will survive in the pseudoscalar charmonium case.

References

[1] J. Insler *et al.*, CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 091101(R) (2010), arXiv:1003.0417[hep-ex].

[2] J. Bijnens and M. Maul, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2000) 003, hep-ph/0006042.

[3] T. Appelquist and H. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 43 (1975); A. De Rujula and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 46 (1975); J.H. Kühn, J. Kaplan, and E. Safiani, Nucl. Phys. B **157**, 125 (1979); W.Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2072 (1981); E.L. Berger and D. Jones, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1521 (1981); L. Clavelli, Phys. Rev. D **26**,1610 (1982); L. Clavelli, T. Gajdosik, and I. Perevalova, Phys. Lett. B **523**, 249 (2001), hep-ph/0110076; L. Clavelli, P. Coulter, and T. Gaidosik, Phys. Lett. B **526**, 360 (2002), hep-ph/0111250.

[4] V. Barger and R. Phillips, *Collider Physics* (updated edition), Westview Press.

[5] G. Hao, C.F. Qiao, P. Sun, and Y. Jia, J. High Energy Phys. **02** (2007) 057, hep-ph/0612173.

[6] J. Beringer *et al.*, Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012), and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition.